

Kevin Hamilton PO Box 15175, Glasgow, G4 9LP

Head of Roads Neighbourhoods and Sustainability Glasgow City Council.

By e-mail to: LandServices.Mailroom@glasgow.gov.uk Ref: TF/SI

02 September 2019

web: www.gobike.org

e-mail: consultations@gobike.org

Dear Sir/Madam,

The Glasgow City Council (Leithland Road) Traffic Calming Scheme 20___

Thank you for your email of 20 August and the opportunity to comment on the proposals for traffic calming to reduce the speed of motor traffic on Leithland Road.

GoBike is fully supportive of reducing the speed of motor traffic and has supported all proposals for the introduction of 20mph speed limits in the city, although we would prefer a city-wide default speed limit of 20mph.

However, we are concerned that where speeds consistently above the speed limit are observed just one method of traffic calming is proposed, irrespective of location, road width, gradient or alignment or the density and demographic of the local population.

We recognise that speed cushions are effective at reducing the speed of motor vehicles and we support the use of raised tables at junctions to give pedestrians priority but these measures are reactive. They are slowing traffic down but they are not proactively encouraging active travel, ie walking and cycling. If measures are introduced that support active travel then motor drivers, rather than complaining about the damage speed cushions inflict on their cars might just get their bike out of the shed or walk to the local shops.

Measures supportive of active travel are being introduced in the Avenues Projects in the city centre and the current Development Regeneration Framework documents are highlighting the need, for the sake of the city's health, to reduce the number of motor vehicles, introduce more green space and get people using public transport or a bike or their feet to get around.

In the case of Leithland Road, it is clear that some people are using the street and footway to store their private vehicles, thus impeding passage for people, particularly people with sight impairment, to walk on the footway and for people to cycle on the roadway.

Some residents have formed hard-standing to store their vehicles off-road and we suggest that all owners and residents are urged to do likewise. Provided they are advised how to form hard-standing in a way that promotes rainfall drainage and that the City Council installs dropped kerbs where required, this should allow them to store their vehicles where they are free from impact by other vehicles.

To reduce the speed of those drivers who persist in breaking speed limits we suggest that you reduce their sight lines by the installation of buildouts, with planters or trees on them. Bollards might also be required to prevent inconsiderate parking, but these buildouts, which will limit motor traffic to alternate one-way working, must be on alternate sides of the road and must incorporate cycle bypasses so as not to impede, but also encourage, cycling.

We note that the design for the speed cushions, which are not generally conducive to cycling, are spaced at intervals along the road that might well encourage drivers to accelerate and then decelerate between them, thus creating additional noise and pollution. We also note that while the minimum distance of the cushions from the kerb is 0.75m, ie at the minimum quoted in the DfT Local Transport Note 2/08, Cycle Infrastructure Design, we had understood that the City Council was using a gap of 1.2m as standard and it's regrettable that this is not the case as it would allow tricycles and cargo bikes to get through.

It is of concern to us that 47% of households in Glasgow do not own a car and that it is recognised that people in the poorer areas of the city are feeling compelled to buy a car because public transport is inadequate and expensive. While, as stated above, we are fully supportive of the need to reduce the speed of motor vehicles, we must do this by designing our streets for people. We must provide for people to walk and cycle in comfort, we must emulate the proposals of the Avenues projects and we must cut sight lines by installing chicanes or planters.

It is our view that if our proposals are implemented in Leithland Road it will significantly improve the local environment.

In summary, we are disappointed that the option of installing speed cushions for the minority of the population who drive, and who drive at speeds over the speed limit is your first resort in this residential area. We object to the proposal in its current form.

Yours sincerely

Tricia Fort

for Consultations, GoBike